

POSITIVITY OF QUASI-LOCAL MASS II

CHIU-CHU MELISSA LIU AND SHING-TUNG YAU

ABSTRACT. We prove the following stronger version of the positivity of quasi-local mass stated in [22]: the quasi-local energy (mass) of each connected component of the boundary of a compact spacelike hypersurface which satisfies the local energy condition is strictly positive unless the spacetime is flat along the spacelike hypersurface and the boundary of the spacelike hypersurface is connected.

1. INTRODUCTION

A spacetime is a four manifold with a pseudo-metric of signature $(+, +, +, -)$. A hypersurface or a 2-surface in a spacetime is spacelike if the induced metric is positive definite. Quasi-local energy-momentum vector is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ associated to a spacelike 2-surface which depends on its first and second fundamental forms, and the connection on its normal bundle in the spacetime. The time component of the four vector is called quasi-local mass. Similar to [10, 8], we require the quasi-local energy-momentum vector to satisfy the following properties.

- (1) It should be zero for the flat spacetime.
- (2) The quasi-local mass should be equivalent to the standard definition if the spacetime is spherically symmetric and quasi-local mass is evaluated on the spheres [7]. (We say two masses m_1 and m_2 are equivalent if there is a universal constant $c > 0$ such that $c^{-1}m_1 \leq m_2 \leq cm_1$.) In particular, for the centered spheres in the Schwarzschild spacetime, the quasi-local mass should be equivalent to the standard mass.
- (3) For an asymptotically flat slice, the quasi-local mass of the coordinate sphere should be asymptotic to the ADM energy-momentum vector.
- (4) For an asymptotically null slice, the quasi-local mass of the coordinate sphere should be asymptotic to the Bondi energy-momentum vector.
- (5) For an apparent horizon Σ , the quasi-local mass should be no less than a (universal) constant multiple of the irreducible mass which is $\sqrt{\text{Area}(\Sigma)/16\pi}$.
- (6) The quasi-local energy-momentum vector should be non-spacelike and the quasi-local mass should be non-negative.

Our definition of quasi-local mass [22] arises naturally from calculations in the second author's work [36] on blackholes, and is strongly motivated by our ability to prove its positivity. After the second author proposed our definition, we were informed of the existence of much earlier works by Brown-York [3, 4] and others [21, 20, 11]. The main goal of this paper is to provide a complete proof of a stronger version of the positivity stated in [22].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall our definition of quasi-local mass, discuss its properties, and state the main result (positivity of

quasi-local mass). In Section 3, we describe Shi and Tam's proof of positivity in the Riemannian case. In Section 4, we prove the main result.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Shi and Tam for their questions on [22] which motivated us to write down this proof in detail. We wish to thank Mu-Tao Wang for proofreading the first draft. The first author also wishes to thank Xiao Zhang for helpful discussion. The second author is supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-0306600.

2. DEFINITION OF QUASI-LOCAL MASS AND ITS PROPERTIES

Let Σ be a spacelike 2-surface in a spacetime N . At each point of Σ , choose two null normals l, n such that $\langle l, n \rangle = -1$. Any other choice (l', n') is related to (l, n) by $l' = \lambda l, n' = \lambda^{-1} n$ or $l' = \lambda n, n' = \lambda^{-1} l$ for some function $\lambda : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We denote the mean curvature with respect to l and n by

$$(1) \quad 2\rho = -\langle \nabla_1 e_1 + \nabla_2 e_2, l \rangle, \quad -2\mu = -\langle \nabla_1 e_1 + \nabla_2 e_2, n \rangle$$

respectively, where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of Σ . The definitions of ρ and μ depend on choice of (l, n) , but their product $\rho\mu$ is independent of choice of (l, n) . More intrinsically,

$$8\rho\mu = \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H} \rangle$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the mean curvature vector of Σ in N . We assume that $\rho\mu > 0$, or equivalently, the mean curvature vector $\mathbf{H}$ of Σ in N is spacelike.

Suppose that Σ has positive Gaussian curvature so that Σ is topologically a 2-sphere. By Weyl's embedding theorem, Σ can be isometrically embedded into the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ so that the second fundamental form $(H_0)_{ab}$ is positive definite. The embedding $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is unique up to an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^3$, so $(H_0)_{ab}$ is determined by the metric on Σ . Let ρ_0, μ_0 be the mean curvatures with respect to null normals l_0, n_0 of the embedding $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, with the normalization $\langle l_0, n_0 \rangle = -1$. Then

$$8\rho_0\mu_0 = H_0^2$$

where $H_0 > 0$ is the trace of $(H_0)_{ab}$. Define the *quasi-local mass* of Σ to be

$$(2) \quad E(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} (\sqrt{8\rho_0\mu_0} - \sqrt{8\rho\mu}) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} (H_0 - \sqrt{8\rho\mu})$$

See [33] for other definitions of quasi-local mass (energy).

Recall (1)–(6) in Section 1. In [26], Murchadha, Szabados, and Tod gave examples of $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ but $E(\Sigma) > 0$, so $E(\Sigma)$ does not satisfy (1). For (2), recall that the Schwarzschild spacetime metric on $\mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

$$g = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad r > 2M$$

where r, θ, ϕ are the spherical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $S_a \subset (\mathbb{R}^4, g)$ be the round sphere defined by $t = 0, r = a$, and let $m(r) = E(S_r)$. Then

$$m(r) = r\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2M}{r}}\right).$$

Note $m(r)$ is decreasing (for $r \geq 2M$), $m(2M) = 2M$, and $m(\infty) = M$, which is consistent with (2). For (3), (4), Epp discussed the spatial and future null infinity limits of a large sphere in asymptotically flat spacetimes, but cannot conclude that

$E(\Sigma)$ satisfies (3), (4) in general. For (5), on an apparent horizon Σ we have $\rho\mu = 0$, so

$$E(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma} H_0 \geq \sqrt{\frac{\text{Area}(\Sigma)}{4\pi}}$$

by Minkowski inequality of convex bodies [24]. Therefore, $E(\Sigma)$ satisfies (5). By the main result of this paper, $E(\Sigma)$ is nonnegative as required in (6) and is strictly positive when the spacetime is not flat along Σ .

We now give precise statement of the main result. Let Ω be a compact spacelike hypersurface in a time orientable four dimensional spacetime N . Let g_{ij} denote the induced metric on Ω , and let p_{ij} denote the second fundamental form of Ω in N . The local mass density μ and the local current density J^i on Ω are related to g_{ij} and p_{ij} by the constraint equations

$$(3) \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(R - \sum_{i,j} p^{ij} p_{ij} + \left(\sum_i p_i^i \right)^2 \right)$$

$$(4) \quad J^i = \sum_j D_j \left(p^{ij} - \left(\sum_k p_k^k \right) g^{ij} \right),$$

where R is the scalar curvature of the metric g_{ij} . In this paper, we prove the following stronger version of the positivity stated in [22].

Theorem 1 (positivity of quasi-local mass). *Let Ω, μ, J be as above. We assume that μ and J^i satisfies the local energy condition*

$$(5) \quad \mu \geq \sqrt{J^i J_i}$$

and the boundary $\partial\Omega$ has finitely many connected components $\Sigma^1, \dots, \Sigma^\ell$, each of which has positive Gaussian curvature and has spacelike mean curvature vector in N . Let $E(\Sigma^\alpha)$ be defined as in (2). Then $E(\Sigma^\alpha) \geq 0$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, \ell$. Moreover, if $E(\Sigma^\alpha) = 0$ for some α , then M is flat spacetime along Ω , $\partial\Omega$ is connected and will be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ by the well-known Weyl embedding theorem.

3. THE RIEMANNIAN CASE

When the second fundamental form of Ω in N vanishes, the local energy condition (5) reduces to $R \geq 0$ and the condition $\rho\mu > 0$ reduces to $H > 0$, where H is the mean curvature of the spacelike 2-surface in Ω with respect to the outward unit normal. Shi and Tam proved positivity of quasi-local mass in this case.

Theorem 2 ([31, Theorem 1]). *Let (Ω^3, g) be a compact manifold of dimension three with smooth boundary and with nonnegative scalar curvature. Suppose $\partial\Omega$ has finitely many connected components Σ^α so that each connected component has positive Gaussian curvature and positive mean curvature H with respect to the unit outward normal. Then for each boundary component Σ^α ,*

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Sigma^\alpha} H d\sigma \leq \int_{\Sigma^\alpha} H_0^\alpha d\sigma$$

where H_0^α is the mean curvature of Σ^α with respect to the outward normal when it is isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $d\sigma$ is the volume form on Σ^α induced from g . Moreover, if equality holds in (6) for some Σ^α , then $\partial\Omega$ has only one connected component and Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We now briefly describe Shi and Tam's proof of Theorem 2. From now on, all the mean curvatures are defined with respect to the outward unit normal.

Let Σ^α be a connected component of $\partial\Omega$. By hypothesis of Theorem 2, it has positive Gaussian curvature, so it can be isometrically embedded to $\mathbb{R}^3$ by the well-known Weyl embedding theorem. Moreover, the embedding is unique up to an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\Sigma_0^\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the image of such an embedding. Then Σ_0^α is a strictly convex hypersurface diffeomorphic to S^2 .

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the position vector of a point on Σ_0^α , and let $\mathbf{N}$ be the unit outward normal of Σ_0^α at $\mathbf{X}$. Let Σ_r^α be the surface described by $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + r\mathbf{N}$, with $r \geq 0$. Let D^α be the region of $\mathbb{R}^3$ outside Σ_0^α , and let $E^\alpha = D^\alpha \cup \Sigma_0^\alpha$ be the closure of D^α in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then E^α can be represented by

$$(\Sigma^\alpha \times [0, \infty), g^0 = dr^2 + g_r),$$

where g_r is the induced metric on Σ_r^α , $g^0 = dr^2 + g_r$ is the standard Euclidean metric on $E^\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Note that $g_r = (a+r)^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$ if Σ_0^α is a round sphere of radius $a > 0$.

Consider a Riemannian metric on E^α of the form

$$(7) \quad g = h^2 dr^2 + g_r.$$

where h is a smooth positive function. This is a special case of Bartnik's construction in [2]. Note that g and g^0 induce the same metric on each Σ_r^α . The mean curvature H and H_0 of Σ_r^α with respect to g and g^0 are related by

$$H = h^{-1}H^0.$$

Note that $H_0(x, 0) = H_0^\alpha(x)$ for $x \in \Sigma_0^\alpha \cong \Sigma^\alpha$. The scalar curvature R of g is given by

$$2H_0 \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} = 2h^2 \Delta_r h + (h - h^3)R^r + h^3 R,$$

where R^r is the scalar curvature of Σ_r^α , and Δ_r is the Laplacian operator on Σ_r^α . So a solution to the parabolic partial differential equation

$$(8) \quad 2H_0 \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} = 2h^2 \Delta_r h + (h - h^3)R^r$$

on $E^\alpha \cong \Sigma^\alpha \times [0, \infty)$ with the initial condition

$$(9) \quad h(x, 0) = \frac{H_0^\alpha}{H}.$$

defines a metric on E^α such that the scalar curvature $R = 0$ and the mean curvature of Σ_0^α coincides with the restriction of H to $\Sigma^\alpha \cong \Sigma_0^\alpha$.

Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the distance function to the origin (in Euclidean metric). We may assume that the origin is enclosed by Σ_0^α so that $\rho \geq a$ for some constant $a > 0$. Shi and Tam showed that [31, Theorem 2.1]:

Theorem 3. *The equation (8) with the initial condition (9) has a unique solution such that*

(a) $h = 1 + m_0 \rho^{-1} + \kappa$, where m_0 is a constant and the function κ satisfies

$$|\kappa| = O(\rho^{-2}), \quad |\nabla_0 \kappa| = O(\rho^{-3}),$$

where ∇_0 is Levi-Civita connection of the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

- (b) The metric $g^\alpha = h^2 dr^2 + g_r$ on E^α is asymptotically flat in the sense that
- $$(10) \quad |g_{ij}^\alpha - \delta_{ij}| + \rho |\nabla_0 g_{ij}^\alpha| + \rho^2 |\nabla_0^2 g_{ij}^\alpha| \leq C \rho^{-1}.$$

with zero scalar curvature.

- (c) The ADM mass of (E^α, g^α) is given by

$$m_\infty^\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m^\alpha(r),$$

where

$$m^\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma_r^\alpha} (H_0 - H) d\sigma_r,$$

and $d\sigma_r$ is the volume form of Σ_r^α .

Let $m^\alpha(r)$ be defined as in (c) of Theorem 3. It is computed in the proof of [31, Lemma 4.2] that

$$(11) \quad \frac{dm^\alpha}{dr}(r) = \frac{-1}{16\pi G} \int_{\Sigma_r^\alpha} R^r u^{-1} (1 - u)^2 \leq 0.$$

Shi and Tam glued (E^α, g^α) to (Ω, g) along Σ^α to obtain a complete noncompact three manifold M with a continuous Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ such that

- (1) $\tilde{g}$ is smooth on $M \setminus \Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega}$, and is Lipschitz near $\partial\Omega$.
- (2) The mean curvatures of Σ^α with respect to $g = \tilde{g}|_\Omega$ and $g^\alpha = \tilde{g}|_{E^\alpha}$ are the same for each α .
- (3) Each end E^α of M is asymptotically Euclidean in the sense of (10).
- (4) The scalar curvature R of $M \setminus \partial\Omega$ is nonnegative and is in $L^1(M)$.

Using Witten's argument [35, 27], Shi and Tam proved that the positive mass theorem holds for such a metric, so the ADM mass

$$m_\infty^\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m^\alpha(r)$$

is nonnegative for each end E^α , and m_∞^α vanishes for some α if and only if M has only one end and M is flat. This together with the monotonicity (11) of $m^\alpha(r)$ gives Theorem 2, since

$$m^\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma^\alpha} (H_0^\alpha - H) d\sigma.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

4.1. Outline of proof. Let (Ω, g_{ij}, p_{ij}) and $\Sigma^1, \dots, \Sigma^\ell$ be as in Section 2. We first deform the metric g_{ij} on Ω by a procedure used by Schoen and the second author in [32] and also by the second author in [36]. This procedure consists of two steps. The first step is to deform g_{ij} to a new metric

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} + f_i f_j$$

where f is a solution to Jang's equation on Ω such that $f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. The metric $\bar{g}_{ij}$ coincides with g_{ij} when restricted to $\partial\Omega$, and its scalar curvature $\bar{R}$ satisfies

$$(12) \quad \bar{R} \geq 2|X|^2 - 2\operatorname{div} X$$

for some vector field X on Ω . The equality holds only if $p_{ij} = h_{ij}$, where h_{ij} is the second fundamental form of the isometric embedding of $(\Omega, \bar{g}_{ij})$ into

$$(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + dt^2)$$

as the graph of f . The second step is to deform $\bar{g}_{ij}$ conformally to a metric with zero scalar curvature. The inequality (12) implies that there is a unique metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ in the conformal class of $\bar{g}_{ij}$ which has zero scalar curvature and coincides with $\bar{g}_{ij}$ on $\partial\Omega$.

After the above reduction, we cannot apply Theorem 2 directly to $\hat{g}_{ij}$ because the mean curvature $\hat{H}$ of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to $\hat{g}_{ij}$ is not necessarily positive (this point was overlooked in [22]). Instead, we have

$$(13) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{H} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle)$$

where $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ are the mean curvature and outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to $\bar{g}_{ij}$, and the equality holds iff $\hat{g} = \bar{g}$ and $X = 0$ (so $p_{ij} = h_{ij}$). It was shown in [36] that

$$(14) \quad \bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle \geq \sqrt{H^2 - P^2} = \sqrt{8\rho\mu}$$

where P is the trace of the restriction of p_{ij} to $\partial\Omega$. In particular, $\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle$ is positive.

Let E^α and H_0^α be defined as in Section 3. Shi and Tam's proof of Theorem 3 shows that one can solve (8) on E^α with the initial condition

$$(15) \quad h(x, 0) = \frac{H_0^\alpha}{\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle}$$

and obtain a scalar flat, asymptotically flat metric g^α on the end E^α . Gluing (E^α, g^α) to $(\Omega, \hat{g})$ along Σ^α , we obtain a complete noncompact three manifold M with a Lipschitz continuous Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$. On $M \setminus \partial\Omega$, $\tilde{g}$ is smooth and has zero scalar curvature. However, the mean curvature of Σ^α with respect to $\hat{g} = \tilde{g}|_\Omega$ and $g^\alpha = g|_{E^\alpha}$ are not necessarily the same. This causes the following problem which is absent in the case considered by Shi and Tam: the zeroth order term of the Dirac operator can be discontinuous along $\partial\Omega$, so there is an extra term when we integrate the Weitzenböck-Lichnerowicz formula. To prove the positive mass theorem for $(M, \tilde{g})$ (Theorem 7), we derive an inequality (Proposition 10) as a substitute of the integral form of Weitzenböck-Lichnerowicz formula for smooth metrics.

Let m_∞^α and $m^\alpha(r)$ be defined by g^α as in Section 3. The monotonicity (11) of $m^\alpha(r)$ and (14) imply

$$(16) \quad m_\infty^\alpha \leq \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma^\alpha} (H_0^\alpha - (\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle)) \leq \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma^\alpha} (H_0^\alpha - \sqrt{8\rho\mu}) = E(\Sigma^\alpha).$$

The positive mass theorem for $(M, \tilde{g})$ says that $m_\infty^\alpha \geq 0$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, \ell$, and $m_\infty^\alpha = 0$ for some α iff $\ell = 1$ and $(M, \tilde{g})$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$. So $E(\Sigma^\alpha) \geq 0$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, \ell$. If $E(\Sigma^\alpha) = 0$ for some α , we must have $m_\infty^\alpha = 0$ and $\hat{g} = \bar{g}$, so $(\Omega, \bar{g}) = (\Omega, \tilde{g})$ is a domain $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. In this case, (Ω, g) (at least the part away from apparent horizons) can be isometrically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} = (\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \sum dx_i^2 - dt^2)$ as a graph

$$\{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in \Omega_0\}$$

with second fundamental form p_{ij} , where f is a smooth function on Ω_0 which vanishes on $\partial\Omega_0$.

4.2. Jang's equation with Dirichlet boundary condition. As in [32], we consider following equation proposed by Jang [19] on Ω :

$$(17) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left(g^{ij} - \frac{f^i f^j}{1 + |\nabla f|^2} \right) \left(\frac{f_{ij}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} - p_{ij} \right) = 0.$$

As in [36], we consider solutions to (17) with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$(18) \quad f|_{\partial\Omega} \equiv 0.$$

Most of the estimates were made in [32]. To solve the boundary value problem, the second author constructed a barrier in [36] and concluded that there exists a solution to (17) with boundary value (18) when (Ω, g_{ij}, p_{ij}) has no *apparent horizon*.

Definition 4. Let (Ω, g_{ij}, p_{ij}) be an initial data set. Given a smooth compact surface S embedded in Ω , let H_s be the mean curvature of S with respect to the outward unit normal vector, and let P_s be the trace of the restriction of p_{ij} to S . A smooth 2-sphere S embedded in Ω is an *apparent horizon* of the initial data (Ω, g_{ij}, p_{ij}) if $H_s + P_s = 0$ or $H_s - P_s = 0$.

We first assume that (Ω, g_{ij}, p_{ij}) has no apparent horizon so that there exists a solution f to the Jang's equation (17) on Ω such that $f|_{\Omega} = 0$. The induced metric of the graph $\Omega_f \cong \Omega$ of f in $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + dt^2)$ is

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} + f_i f_j$$

which can be viewed as a deformation of the metric g_{ij} on Ω . Note that the new metric $\bar{g}$ coincides with the old metric g when restricted to $\partial\Omega$.

We now introduce some notation. Let $\bar{e}_4$ be the downward unit normal to Ω_f in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, and let $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3$ be a local orthonormal frame of Ω . We define h_{i4} by

$$\bar{\nabla}_4 \bar{e}_i = h_{i4} \bar{e}_i.$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + dt^2$ on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $h_{ij} = \langle \bar{e}_i, \bar{\nabla}_j \bar{e}_4 \rangle$ be the second fundamental form of Ω_f in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\bar{R}$ be the scalar curvature of $\bar{g}$, and extend p_{ij} , μ , J^i parallelly along the $\mathbb{R}$ factor. The following inequality was derived in [32]:

$$(19) \quad 2(\mu - |J|) \leq \bar{R} - \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij} - p_{ij})^2 - 2(h_{i4} - p_{i4})^2 + 2 \sum_i D_i (h_{i4} - p_{i4}),$$

where D_i denotes the covariant derivative of $\bar{g}$. In particular,

$$(20) \quad \bar{R} \geq 2|X|^2 - 2\text{div}X,$$

where $X = \sum (h_{i4} - p_{i4}) e_i$, and the divergence is defined by $\bar{g}$. By (19), the inequality (20) is an equality only if $p_{ij} = h_{ij}$.

In general, the solution f and the metric $\bar{g}$ are defined on Ω' , the complement of union of apparent horizons, but one can extend $\bar{g}$ to a metric on Ω'' which is obtained by adding a point on each end of Ω' . See [32] for details.

4.3. Scalar flat metric on Ω . We shall prove the following:

Proposition 5. Let $(\Omega, \bar{g})$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension three with smooth boundary. Suppose that the scalar curvature $\bar{R}$ of $\bar{g}$ satisfies

$$\bar{R} \geq c|X|^2 - 2\text{div}X,$$

for some constant $c > \frac{1}{2}$ and some smooth vector field X on Ω . Then there is a unique metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ on Ω such that

- (1) The metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ is conformal to $\bar{g}_{ij}$.
- (2) The scalar curvature of $\hat{g}_{ij}$ is zero.
- (3) The metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ coincides with $\bar{g}_{ij}$ on $\partial\Omega$.
- (4) Let $\bar{H}$ and $\hat{H}$ denote the mean curvatures with respect the metric $\bar{g}$ and $\hat{g}$, respectively, and let $\bar{\nu}$ denote the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ in $(\Omega, \bar{g})$. Then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{H} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\bar{R} = 0$, $X = 0$, and $\hat{g}_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij}$.

Proof. In this proof, the Laplacian, gradient, divergence, and all the norms are defined by the metric $\bar{g}_{ij}$.

Any metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ conformal to $\bar{g}_{ij}$ can be written as $\hat{g}_{ij} = u^4 \bar{g}_{ij}$, where u a positive smooth function on Ω . The metric $\hat{g}_{ij}$ satisfies (1) and (2) in Proposition 5 if and only if $v = u - 1$ is a solution to

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta v - \frac{1}{8} \bar{R} v = \frac{1}{8} \bar{R} & \text{on } \Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

We first show that (21) has a unique solution. Let f be a solution to

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta f - \frac{1}{8} \bar{R} f = 0 & \text{on } \Omega \\ f = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega} f \left(-\Delta f + \frac{\bar{R}}{8} f \right) = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{\bar{R}}{8} f^2 \right) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{c}{8} |X|^2 f^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\operatorname{div} X) f^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2} X(f) f + \frac{c}{8} |X|^2 f^2 \right) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla f|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |f X| |\nabla f| + \frac{c}{8} |f X|^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} |\nabla f| - \frac{\sqrt{2c}}{4} |f X| \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c} \right) |\nabla f|^2 \right) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1 - \frac{1}{2c} > 0$, so $\nabla f \equiv 0$, which implies $f \equiv 0$ since f vanishes on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, zero is the only solution to (22), and (21) has a unique solution. Let v be the unique solution to (21). Then v is smooth.

We next show that $u = v + 1$ is positive. Note that u satisfies

$$(23) \quad \Delta u - \frac{1}{8} \bar{R} u = 0$$

on Ω . Assume that $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) < 0\}$ is nonempty. Then $\partial\Omega_- \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$, and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \frac{1}{8} \bar{R} u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega_- \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_- \end{cases}$$

which implies that $u \equiv 0$ on Ω_- , a contradiction. So Ω_- must be empty, or equivalently, u is nonnegative. Since $u = 1$ on $\partial\Omega$, the positivity of u follows from the Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions to (23).

Finally, we check that the metric $\hat{g}_{ij} = u^4 \bar{g}_{ij}$ satisfies (4) in Proposition 5. We first compute $\hat{H}$ in terms of $\bar{H}$ and u . We may choose coordinates (x^1, x^2, x^3) near a point $p \in \partial\Omega$ such that (x^1, x^2) are the normal coordinates of $\partial\Omega$ centered at p , and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} = \bar{\nu}$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k, \hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ denote the Christoffel symbols of $\bar{g}, \hat{g}$, respectively. Then for $i, j = 1, 2$, at p we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\hat{h}_{ij} = \hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \hat{g}_{i3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \hat{g}_{j3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \hat{g}_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (u^4 \bar{g}_{i3}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (u^4 \bar{g}_{j3}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} (u^4 \bar{g}_{ij}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \bar{g}_{i3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \bar{g}_{j3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \bar{g}_{ij} - 4 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^3} \delta_{ij} \right) \\ &= \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 - 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^3} \delta_{ij} \\ &= -\bar{h}_{ij} - 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^3} \delta_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

So $\hat{H} = \bar{H} + 4\bar{\nu}(u)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u \nabla u) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u \Delta u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\bar{R}}{8} u^2 \right) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c}{8} |X|^2 u^2 - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{div} X u^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{c}{8} |X|^2 u^2 + \frac{1}{2} X(u) u \right) - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2c}} |\nabla u| - \frac{\sqrt{2c}}{4} |uX| \right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c} \right) |\nabla u|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{H} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle),$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$u \equiv 1, \quad X = 0, \quad \bar{R} = 0. \quad \square$$

4.4. Scalar flat metrics on the ends.

Lemma 6.

$$(24) \quad \bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle \geq \sqrt{H^2 - P^2}$$

Proof. Let $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3, \bar{e}_4\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ along the graph Ω_f so that $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2$ is tangent to $\partial\Omega$ and $\bar{e}_3 = \bar{\nu}$. Let w be the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega_0$ in Ω_0 , the graph of the zero function. It was computed in [36, Section 5] that

$$(25) \quad \bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle = -\frac{\langle \bar{e}_4, w \rangle}{\langle \bar{e}_3, w \rangle} P + \frac{1}{\langle e_3, w \rangle} H.$$

Recall that $H > 0$, so (24) is equivalent to

$$(-\langle \bar{e}_4, w \rangle P + H)^2 \geq \langle \bar{e}_3, w \rangle^2 (H^2 - P^2)$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\langle e_4, w \rangle^2 + \langle e_3, w \rangle^2) P^2 - 2\langle e_4, w \rangle P H + (1 - \langle e_3, w \rangle^2) H^2 \geq 0$$

But $|w|^2 = 1 = \langle e_4, w \rangle^2 + \langle e_3, w \rangle^2$, this inequality holds trivially. $\square$

We now modify Shi-Tam's proof of Theorem 2. Let $\Sigma^\alpha, \Sigma_r^\alpha, E^\alpha, g^\alpha = h^2 dr^2 + g_r$ be defined as in in Section 3. Shi and Tam's proof in [31] shows that there is a unique solution to (8) on $E^\alpha \cong \Sigma^\alpha \times [0, \infty)$ with the initial condition

$$(26) \quad h(x, 0) = \frac{H_0^\alpha}{\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle}$$

such that

$$|h(x, r) - 1| \leq \frac{C}{r}$$

for $r \geq 1$. Equip E^α with the metric $g^\alpha = h^2 dr^2 + g_r$. Then g^α has zero scalar curvature, and the mean curvature of Σ^α in (E^α, g^α) is $\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle$.

Define

$$m^\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma_r^\alpha} (H_0 - H) d\sigma_r, \quad m_\infty^\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m^\alpha(r)$$

as in Section 3. By monotonicity (11), $m_\infty^\alpha \leq m^\alpha(0)$. By Lemma 6,

$$m^\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} (H_0^\alpha - (\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle)) d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} (H_0^\alpha - \sqrt{H^2 - P^2}) d\sigma = E(\Sigma^\alpha).$$

4.5. Asymptotically flat Lipschitz metric. Following [31], we glue (E^α, g^α) in Section 4.4 to $(\Omega, \hat{g})$ in Section 4.3 along Σ^α to obtain a complete noncompact three manifold M with a continuous Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ such that

- (1) $\tilde{g}$ is smooth on $M \setminus \Omega$ and $\bar{\Omega}$, and is Lipschitz near $\partial\Omega$.
- (2) Each end E^α of M is asymptotically Euclidean.
- (3) The scalar curvature R of $M \setminus \partial\Omega$ is nonnegative and is in $L^1(M)$.

The mean curvatures of Σ with respect to $\hat{g} = \tilde{g}|_\Omega$ is $\hat{H} = \bar{H} + 4\bar{\nu}(u)$, and the mean curvature of Σ with respect to $g^\alpha = \tilde{g}|_{E^\alpha}$ is $\bar{H} - \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle$.

Let $\bar{\nu}$ be the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to $\tilde{g}$. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(x, t) \mapsto \exp_x(t\bar{\nu}(x))$ defines an open embedding $i : \partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M$. The image $T = i(\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon))$ is a tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ in M . We use the smooth structure on $\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ to define the smooth structure on T . We have

$$i^* \tilde{g} = dt^2 + \rho_{ij}(x, t) dx_i dx_j$$

where (x_1, x_2) are local coordinates on $\partial\Omega$.

We choose local orthonormal frame e_1, e_2 of $\partial\Omega$ and parallel transport them along $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then e_1, e_2 , and $e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ form a local orthonormal frame on $(\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon), i^* \tilde{g})$ such that

- (1) e_1, e_2 are tangent to slides $\Sigma_t = \partial\Omega \times \{t\}$.
- (2) e_3 is normal to Σ_t .
- (3) $\nabla_3 e_i = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to $i^* \tilde{g}$.

The mean curvature of Σ_t in $\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ defines a function H on $\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ which is discontinuous at $t = 0$ and smooth away from $t = 0$.

Note that $(M, \tilde{g})$ is uniquely determined by $(\Omega, \hat{g})$, which is uniquely determined by $(\Omega, \bar{g})$. As explained in Section 4.1, our main result Theorem 1 follows from the following positive mass theorem of $(M, \tilde{g})$.

Theorem 7. *The ADM mass m_∞^α of the end (E^α, g^α) is nonnegative for $\alpha = 1, \dots, \ell$, and $m_\infty^\alpha = 0$ for some α if and only if $\ell = 1$ and M is the Euclidean space.*

We will prove Theorem 7 in Section 4.10.

4.6. Dirac spinor. In the rest of this paper, $L^p, L_{\text{loc}}^p, L_0^p, W^{k,p}, W_{\text{loc}}^{k,p}, W_0^{k,p}$ are defined as in [14, Chapter 7].

The spinor bundle S over M is a trivial complex vector bundle of rank 2. Let $\mathbf{D} : C^\infty(M, S) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, S)$ be the Dirac operator defined by the Levi-Civita connection of $\tilde{g}$. It can be extended to $\mathbf{D} : W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S) \rightarrow L_{\text{loc}}^2(M, S)$.

Let T be the tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ in M defined in Section 4.5. We identify T with $\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and study the Dirac operator on $\partial\Omega \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be defined as in Section 4.5, and let $\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3$ be the dual coframe. Then $\theta^3 = dt$. Let

$$\mathbf{D}^t = c(\theta^3) (c(\theta^1) \nabla_1^t + c(\theta^2) \nabla_2^t)$$

where ∇^t is the Levi-Civita connection on Σ_t . Then

$$\mathbf{D}\psi = c(\theta^3) \left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - \mathbf{D}^t\psi + \frac{H}{2}\psi \right).$$

Let

$$\mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{D} - \frac{1}{2}\beta(t)Hc(\theta^3)$$

where $\beta : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $\beta(t) = \beta(-t)$ and

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & |t| \leq \epsilon/3 \\ 0 & |t| > 2\epsilon/3 \end{cases}$$

Then $\mathbf{D}'$ extends to a first-order differential operator on M with smooth coefficients.

In Section 4.9, we will prove the following existence and uniqueness of Dirac spinor with prescribed asymptotics.

Theorem 8. *Let $\psi^1, \dots, \psi^\ell$ be constant spinors defined on the ends $E^1, \dots, E^\ell$. Then there exists a unique spinor $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S)$ such that*

- (1) $\mathbf{D}\psi = 0$.
- (2) $\psi \in C^\infty(M \setminus \partial\Omega, S)$.
- (3) $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(M, S)$ for any $2 \leq p < \infty$.
- (4) On each end E^α , let ρ be defined as in Theorem 3. Then

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{1-\epsilon} |\psi - \psi^\alpha| = 0$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

In general, the mean curvature along $\partial\Omega$ is discontinuous, so the Dirac spinor ψ in Theorem 8 is not in $C^1(M, S)$.

4.7. Boundary values of $W^{1,2}$ functions. We recall the following result from [25], where H_p^m corresponds to $W^{m,p}$ in our notation.

Theorem 9 ([25, Theorem 3.4.5]). *If G is bounded and of class C_1^{m-1} the functions $u \in C_p^{m-1}(\bar{G})$ are dense in any space $H_p^m(G)$ with $p \geq 1$ and there is a bounded operator B from $H_p^m(G)$ into $H_p^{m-1}(\partial G)$ such that $Bu = u|_{\partial G}$ whenever $u \in C_1^{m-1}(\bar{G})$. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H_p^m(G)$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H_p^{m-1}(\partial G)$. If $p > 1$, the mapping is compact.*

Recall that we have translate $\Sigma_0^\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that there is $a > 0$ such that the closed ball B_a of radius a centered at the origin is disjoint from E^α . Choose $L > 0$ such that E^α contains $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_L$. For $r > L$, let

$$E_r^\alpha = E^\alpha \setminus B_r, \quad S_r^\alpha = \partial E_r^\alpha, \quad M_r = M \setminus \cup_{\alpha=1}^\ell E_r^\alpha.$$

For a fixed $r > L$, let G_+ be the interior of Ω , and let G_- be the interior of $M_r \setminus \Omega$. We have a disjoint union

$$M_r = G_+ \cup \partial\Omega \cup G_- \cup \partial M_r,$$

where

$$\bar{G}_+ = G_+ \cup \partial\Omega, \quad \bar{G}_- = \partial\Omega \cup G_- \cup \partial M_r.$$

Let $r_\pm : W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(G_\pm)$ be the restriction map, and let $b_\pm : W^{1,2}(G_\pm) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$ be the bounded linear operator in Theorem 9. Let $B_\pm = b_\pm \circ r_\pm : W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Given $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset C_1^{m-1}(M_r)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u|_{\Omega \cup G_-}$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega \cup G_-)$. Then $B_+ u = B_- u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n|_{\partial\Omega})$.

4.8. Estimates near Ω . Let $L > 0$ be chosen as in Section 4.7. For $r > L$, let M_r, S_r^α be defined as in Section 4.7. The goal of this subsection is to establish the following estimate which will be a crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 8.

Proposition 10. *For $r > L$ and $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S) \cap C^\infty(M \setminus M_L, S)$, we have*

$$(27) \quad 2 \int_{M_r} |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_{M_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^\ell \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2} \psi - c(\nu) \check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \psi \rangle$$

where $\check{\mathbf{D}}$ is the Dirac operator on S_r^α .

Lemma 11. *Let U be an open set of M . For any spinor $\eta \in W_0^{1,2}(U, S)$, $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(U, S)$, we have*

$$(28) \quad \int_U \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \eta \rangle = \int_U \langle \psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle$$

$$(29) \quad \int_U \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle = \int_U \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\eta \rangle_{\bar{g}} + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap U} (2\bar{\nu}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle) \langle \psi, \eta \rangle$$

Proof. By the discussion in Section 4.7, the right hand side of (29) makes sense. It suffices to show that (28) and (29) hold for $\psi \in C^\infty(U, S)$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(U, S)$.

Let $U_1 = \Omega \cap U$, $U_2 = U \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, and $I = \partial\Omega \cap U$. We have

$$(30) \quad \int_{U_1} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \eta \rangle = \int_{U_1} \langle \psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle + \int_I \langle c(\hat{\nu})\psi, \eta \rangle$$

$$(31) \quad \int_{U_2} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \eta \rangle = \int_{U_2} \langle \psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle + \int_I \langle -c(\hat{\nu})\psi, \eta \rangle$$

where $\hat{\nu}$ is the outward unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ in $(\Omega, \hat{g})$ (see e.g. [6, Proposition 3.4]). Equation (28) is the sum of (30) and (31).

We also have

$$(32) \quad \int_{U_1} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle = \int_{U_1} \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\eta \rangle_{\bar{g}} + \int_I \langle \frac{1}{2}(\bar{H} + 4\bar{\nu}(u))\psi - c(\hat{\nu})\check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \eta \rangle$$

$$(33) \quad \int_{U_2} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle = \int_{U_2} \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\eta \rangle_{\bar{g}} + \int_I \langle \frac{1}{2}(\langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle - \bar{H})\psi + c(\hat{\nu})\check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \eta \rangle$$

where $\check{\mathbf{D}}$ is the Dirac operator on $\partial\Omega$ (see e.g. [18]). Equation (29) is the sum of (32) and (33). $\square$

The proof of Lemma 11 also gives the following:

Lemma 12. *For $r > L$ and $\psi, \eta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S)$, we have*

$$(34) \quad \int_{M_r} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \eta \rangle = \int_{M_r} \langle \psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle c(\nu)\psi, \eta \rangle$$

For $r > L$, $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S) \cap C^\infty(M \setminus M_L, S)$, and $\eta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S)$, we have

$$(35) \quad \int_{M_r} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \mathbf{D}\eta \rangle = \int_{M_r} \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\eta \rangle_{\bar{g}} + \int_{\partial\Omega} (2\bar{\nu}(u) + \frac{1}{2}\langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle) \langle \psi, \eta \rangle + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2}\psi - c(\nu)\check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \eta \rangle$$

Lemma 13. *Let $\bar{\mathbf{D}}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ denote the Dirac operators on $S|_\Omega$ defined by the Levi-Civita connections of $\bar{g}$ and $\hat{g} = u^4\bar{g}$, respectively. Then*

$$(36) \quad \mathbf{D}\psi = \frac{1}{u^2}\bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi + \frac{1}{2u^3}\bar{c}(du)\psi$$

where $\bar{c}$ is the Clifford multiplication defined by $\bar{g}$.

Proof. The tangent bundle of Ω is trivial, so there exists global orthonormal frame $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3\}$ with respect to $\bar{g}$. Let $\{\bar{\theta}^1, \bar{\theta}^2, \bar{\theta}^3\}$ be the dual coframe. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{e}_i} \bar{e}_j &= \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \bar{e}_k \\ d\bar{\theta}^i &= -\bar{\Gamma}_{kj}^i \bar{\theta}^k \wedge \bar{\theta}^j \\ \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{e}_i} \psi &= \bar{e}_i(\psi) + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^j) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^k) \psi \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$e_i = u^{-2}\bar{e}_i, \quad \theta^i = u^2\bar{\theta}^i.$$

Then $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a global orthonormal frame with respect to $\hat{g}$, and $\{\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3\}$ is the coframe. Let $u_i = \bar{e}_i(u)$, $\psi_i = \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{e}_i}\psi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^i &= 2u_j u \bar{\theta}^j \wedge \bar{\theta}^i - \sum_{j,k} u^2 \bar{\Gamma}_{kj}^i \bar{\theta}^k \wedge \bar{\theta}^j = - \left(\bar{\Gamma}_{kj}^i \bar{\theta}^k + \frac{2u_j}{u} \bar{\theta}^i \right) \wedge \theta^j \\ \Gamma_{kj}^i &= \left(\bar{\Gamma}_{lj}^i \bar{\theta}^l + \frac{2u_j}{u} \bar{\theta}^i \right) (e_k) = u^{-2} \bar{\Gamma}_{kj}^i + 2u^{-3} u_j \delta_{ik} \\ \nabla_{e_i} \psi &= e_i(\psi) + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{ij}^k c(\theta^j) c(\theta^k) \psi = \frac{1}{u^2} \psi_i + \frac{1}{2u^3} \bar{c}(du) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) = c(\theta^i)$, so

$$\mathbf{D}\psi = c(\theta^i) \nabla_{e_i} \psi = \frac{1}{u^2} \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi_i + \frac{1}{2u^3} \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \bar{c}(du) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi = \frac{1}{u^2} \bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi + \frac{1}{2u^3} \bar{c}(du) \psi. \quad \square$$

Lemma 14.

$$(37) \quad |\nabla \psi|_g^2 = \frac{1}{u^4} |\bar{\nabla} \psi|_g^2 + \frac{3}{4u^6} |du|_g^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{u^5} \text{Re} \langle \bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \bar{c}(du) \psi \rangle - \frac{2}{u^5} \text{Re} \langle u_i \psi_i, \psi \rangle$$

$$(38) \quad |\bar{\nabla} \psi|_g^2 = u^4 |\nabla \psi|_g^2 + \frac{3u^2}{4} |du|_g^2 |\psi|^2 - u^3 \text{Re} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, c(du) \psi \rangle + 2u^3 \text{Re} \langle e_i(u) \nabla_{e_i} \psi, \psi \rangle$$

Proof. We use the notation in the proof of Lemma 13, where we calculated that

$$(39) \quad \nabla_{e_i} \psi = \frac{1}{u^2} \psi_i + \frac{1}{2u^3} \bar{c}(du) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi$$

Note that $\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle$ does not depend on the metric on the tangent bundle of Ω . We have

$$(40) \quad |\nabla_{e_i} \psi|^2 = \frac{1}{u^4} |\psi_i|^2 + \frac{|du|_g^2}{4u^4} |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{u^5} \text{Re} \langle \psi_i, \bar{c}(du) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi \rangle.$$

Note that the last term on the right hand side of (40) is *not* a sum, and can be rewritten as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_i, \bar{c}(du) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi_i, u_j \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^j) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_i, u_j (-\bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^j) - 2\delta_{ij}) \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^i) \psi_i, u_j \bar{c}(\bar{\theta}^j) \psi \rangle - 2u_i \langle \psi_i, \psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We now sum over $i = 1, 2, 3$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \psi|_g^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 |\nabla_{e_i} \psi|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{u^4} |\bar{\nabla} \psi|_g^2 + \frac{3|du|_g^2}{4u^6} |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{u^5} \text{Re} \left(\langle \bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \bar{c}(du) \psi \rangle - 2u_i \langle \psi_i, \psi \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

This proves (37). By symmetry, we have

$$|\bar{\nabla} \psi|_g^2 = u^4 |\nabla \psi|_g^2 + \frac{3u^6}{4} |d(u^{-1})|_g^2 |\psi|^2 + u^5 \text{Re} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, c(d(u^{-1})) \psi \rangle - 2u^5 \text{Re} \langle e_i(u^{-1}) \nabla_{e_i} \psi, \psi \rangle$$

which is equivalent to (38). $\square$

Lemma 15. *Let $d\bar{\sigma}$ denote the volume form of $\bar{g}$, and div denote the divergence defined by $\bar{g}$. Then*

$$(41) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\bar{\sigma} = \int_{\Omega} \left(u^2 |\bar{\nabla} \psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 + \frac{3}{4} |du|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\psi|^2 + u \text{Re} \langle \bar{\mathbf{D}} \psi, \bar{c}(du) \psi \rangle - 2u \text{Re} \langle u_i \psi_i, \psi \rangle \right) d\bar{\sigma}$$

$$(42) \quad 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u) |\psi|^2 \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(2|du|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |X|_{\bar{g}}^2 u^2 |\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\text{div} X) u^2 |\psi|^2 + 4u \text{Re} \langle u_i \psi_i, \psi \rangle \right) d\bar{\sigma}$$

$$(43) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle |\psi|^2 \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\text{div} X) u^2 |\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |X|_{\bar{g}}^2 u^2 |\psi|^2 - \frac{|\bar{\nabla}(u^2 |\psi|^2)|_{\bar{g}}^2}{8u^2 |\psi|^2} \right) d\bar{\sigma}$$

Proof. We have $d\bar{\sigma} = u^6 d\sigma$, so (41) follows from (37). To prove (42), note that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u) |\psi|^2 d\sigma &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \text{div}(u \bar{\nabla} u |\psi|^2) d\bar{\sigma} \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\nabla} u|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\psi|^2 d\bar{\sigma} + 2 \int_{\Omega} u \bar{\Delta} u |\psi|^2 d\bar{\sigma} + 2 \int_{\Omega} u \langle \bar{\nabla} u, \bar{\nabla}(|\psi|^2) \rangle_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\Delta} u = \frac{\bar{R}}{8} u \geq \frac{1}{4} (|X|_{\bar{g}}^2 - \text{div} X) u,$$

$$\langle \bar{\nabla} u, \bar{\nabla}(|\psi|^2) \rangle_{\bar{g}} = u_i \bar{e}_i(|\psi|^2) = 2 \text{Re} \langle u_i \psi_i, \psi \rangle,$$

so (42) holds. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle |\psi|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \text{div}(X u^2 |\psi|^2) d\bar{\sigma} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\text{div} X) u^2 |\psi|^2 d\bar{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nabla}(u^2 |\psi|^2) \rangle_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\sigma} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\text{div} X) u^2 |\psi|^2 d\bar{\sigma} + 2 \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} X u |\psi|, \frac{\bar{\nabla}(u^2 |\psi|^2)}{\sqrt{8} u |\psi|} \right\rangle_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\sigma} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\text{div} X) u^2 |\psi|^2 d\bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |X|_{\bar{g}}^2 u^2 |\psi|^2 d\bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\bar{\nabla}(u^2 |\psi|^2)|_{\bar{g}}^2}{u^2 |\psi|^2} d\bar{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

This proves (43). $\square$

Lemma 16.

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\sigma + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u) |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle |\psi|^2 \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{10} |\nabla \psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 + \frac{1}{16u^2} |du|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\psi|^2 - |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 \right) d\sigma$$

Proof. By Lemma 15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\sigma + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u) |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle |\psi|^2 \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(u^2 |\bar{\nabla} \psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 + \frac{11}{4} |du|_{\bar{g}}^2 |\psi|^2 + 2u \text{Re} \langle u_i \psi_i, \psi \rangle - \frac{1}{8} \frac{|\bar{\nabla}(u^2 |\psi|^2)|^2}{u^2 |\psi|^2} + u \text{Re} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, \bar{c}(du) \psi \rangle \right) d\bar{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite

$$-\frac{1}{8} \frac{|\bar{\nabla}(u^2 |\psi|^2)|^2}{u^2 |\psi|^2}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}(u^2|\psi|^2) &= 2u\bar{\nabla}u|\psi|^2 + u^2 2\text{Re}\langle \bar{\nabla}\psi, \psi \rangle \\
|\bar{\nabla}(u^2|\psi|^2)|^2 &= 4u^2|du|_{\bar{g}}^2|\psi|^4 + 4u^4 \sum_{i=1}^3 (\text{Re}\langle \psi_i, \psi \rangle)^2 + 8u^3|\psi|^2 \text{Re}\langle u_i\psi_i, \psi \rangle \\
-\frac{|\bar{\nabla}(u^2|\psi|^2)|^2}{8u^2|\psi|^2} &= -\frac{1}{2}|du|_{\bar{g}}^2|\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{u^2}{|\psi|^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\text{Re}\langle \psi_i, \psi \rangle)^2 - u\text{Re}\langle u_i\psi_i, \psi \rangle \\
&\geq -\frac{1}{2}|du|_{\bar{g}}^2|\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}u^2|\bar{\nabla}\psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 - u\text{Re}\langle u_i\psi_i, \psi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
(44) \quad & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 d\sigma + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u)|\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle |\psi|^2 \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2}u^2|\bar{\nabla}\psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 + \frac{9}{4}|du|_{\bar{g}}^2|\psi|^2 + u\text{Re}\langle u_i\psi_i, \psi \rangle + u\text{Re}\langle \bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \bar{c}(du)\psi \rangle \right) d\bar{\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this proof, we will write

$$|du|^2 = |du|_{\bar{g}}^2, \quad |\nabla\psi|^2 = |\nabla\psi|_{\bar{g}}^2.$$

By (38),

$$\begin{aligned}
(45) \quad & \frac{1}{2}u^2|\bar{\nabla}\psi|_{\bar{g}}^2 = \frac{u^6}{2}|\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{3u^4}{8}|du|^2|\psi|^2 - \frac{u^5}{2}\text{Re}\langle \mathbf{D}\psi, c(du)\psi \rangle + u^5\text{Re}\langle e_i(u)\nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By (39) and symmetry,

$$(46) \quad \psi_i = u^2\nabla_{e_i}\psi + \frac{u^3}{2}c(d(u^{-1}))c(\theta^i)\psi = u^2\nabla_{e_i}\psi - \frac{u}{2}c(du)c(\theta^i)\psi.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
u\text{Re}\langle u_i\psi_i, \psi \rangle &= u\text{Re}\langle u^2e_i(u) \left(u^2\nabla_{e_i}\psi - \frac{u}{2}c(du)c(\theta^i) \right) \psi, \psi \rangle \\
&= u^5\text{Re}\langle e_i(u)\nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi \rangle - \frac{u^4}{2}\text{Re}\langle c(du)^2\psi, \psi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(47) \quad u\text{Re}\langle u_i\psi_i, \psi \rangle = u^5\text{Re}\langle e_i(u)\nabla_{e_i}\psi, \psi \rangle + \frac{u^4}{2}|du|^2|\psi|^2$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
u\text{Re}\langle \bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \bar{c}(du)\psi \rangle &= u\text{Re}\langle u^2\mathbf{D}\psi - \frac{1}{2u}\bar{c}(du)\psi, \bar{c}(du)\psi \rangle \\
&= u^5\text{Re}\langle \mathbf{D}\psi, c(du)\psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2}u^4\langle c(du)\psi, c(du)\psi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(48) \quad u\text{Re}\langle \bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \bar{c}(du)\psi \rangle = u^5\text{Re}\langle \mathbf{D}\psi, c(du)\psi \rangle - \frac{1}{2}u^4|du|^2|\psi|^2.$$

Let $v = \log(u)$. Then (44), (45), (47), (48) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|_g^2 d\sigma + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \bar{\nu}(u) |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle |\psi|^2 \\
 & \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{21}{8} |dv|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \langle \mathbf{D}\psi, c(dv)\psi \rangle + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle e_i(v) \nabla_{e_i} \psi, \psi \rangle \right) d\sigma \\
 & \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{21}{8} |dv|^2 |\psi|^2 - (|\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} |dv|^2 |\psi|^2) - \left(\frac{2}{5} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{5}{2} |dv|^2 |\psi|^2 \right) \right) d\sigma \\
 & \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{10} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} |dv|^2 |\psi|^2 - |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 \right) d\sigma \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 10. By (35),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{M_r} |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 &= \int_{M_r \setminus \Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} (2\bar{\nu}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle) |\psi|^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2} \psi - c(\nu) \check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \psi \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} (2\bar{\nu}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \bar{\nu} \rangle) |\psi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2$$

by Lemma 16. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{M_r} |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 \\
 & \geq \int_{M_r \setminus \Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{10} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2} \psi - c(\nu) \check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \psi \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (27). $\square$

4.9. Proof of Theorem 8. We modify Parker and Taubes's proof of [27, Theorem 4.1]. In [27], the hypersurface Dirac operator is studied, so the second fundamental form of the spacelike hypersurface in the spacetime is involved in estimates. Here we consider the Riemannian case, so the estimates are simpler in certain steps. The main difficulty in our case comes from the discontinuity of the zeroth order term of the Dirac operator along $\partial\Omega$.

Let $L > 0$ be chosen as in Section 4.7. For $r > L$, let $E_r^\alpha, M_r, S_r^\alpha$ be defined as in Section 4.7. Choose a smooth function β_L^α on each end E^α such that (i) $0 \leq \beta_L^\alpha \leq 1$, (ii) $\beta_L^\alpha \equiv 1$ on E_{3L}^α , (iii) $\beta_L^\alpha \equiv 0$ on $E^\alpha \cap M_{2L}$, and (iv) $|\nabla \beta_L^\alpha| \leq 2/L$. Then $\beta_L^\alpha \psi^\alpha$ extends to a smooth section of S over M . Define

$$(49) \quad \psi_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \beta_L^\alpha \psi^\alpha \in C^\infty(M, S).$$

We wish to find $\psi_1 \in W^{1,2}(M, S)$ such that

$$(50) \quad \mathbf{D}\psi_1 = -\mathbf{D}\psi_0$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{1-\epsilon} |\psi_1| = 0.$$

Then $\psi = \psi_0 + \psi_1$ is the desired solution.

Let U be an open subset of M , and let $\psi \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(U, S)$. Given $\eta \in C^\infty(U, S)$, ψ is said to satisfy

$$\mathbf{D}\psi = \eta$$

in the weak sense if

$$\int_U \langle \psi, \mathbf{D}\phi \rangle = \int_U \langle \eta, \phi \rangle$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^1(U, S)$, or equivalently, for any $\phi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(U, S)$.

Lemma 17. *Let U be an open subset of M , and let $\psi \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(U, S)$ be a weak solution to*

$$\mathbf{D}\psi = \eta$$

where $\eta \in C^\infty(U, S)$. Then $\psi \in C^\infty(U \setminus \partial\Omega, S)$, and $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(U, S)$ for any $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Recall from Section 4.6 that $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}' + A$, where $\mathbf{D}'$ is a first order elliptic operator with smooth coefficients, and $A \in L^\infty(U, \text{End}(S)) \cap C^\infty(U \setminus \partial\Omega, \text{End}(S))$. So $\psi \in C^\infty(U \setminus \partial\Omega, S)$ by elliptic regularity. Now

$$\mathbf{D}'\psi = A\psi + \eta$$

where $A\psi + \eta \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(U, S)$. By elliptic regularity, $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(U) \subset L^6_{\text{loc}}(U)$, so $A\psi + \eta \in L^6_{\text{loc}}(U)$. By elliptic regularity again, $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,6}(U, S) \subset C^0(U, S)$. So $A\psi + \eta \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(U, S)$ for any $p \geq 2$, which implies $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(U, S)$ for any $p \geq 2$. $\square$

We recall some weighted Sobolev spaces introduced in [27]. The distance function from the origin is a smooth function $\rho : E_L^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho(E_L^\alpha) = [L, \infty)$. This defined a smooth function ρ on M_L such that $\rho^{-1}(r) = \partial M_r$ for $r \geq L$. Fix a smooth function σ on M such that (i) $\sigma \geq 1$, (ii) $\sigma = \rho$ on E_{2L}^α and (iii) $\sigma = 1$ in M_L .

Definition 18. *Given a pair (δ, p) such that*

$$p \geq 2, \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p} \leq \delta \leq 2 - \frac{3}{p},$$

let $W_\delta^{0,p}, W_\delta^{1,p}$ be the completions of $C_0^\infty(M, S)$ with respect to the norms

$$(51) \quad \|\psi\|_{0,\delta,p} = \|\sigma^\delta \psi\|_p, \quad \|\psi\|_{1,\delta,p} = \|\sigma^{1+\delta} \nabla \psi\|_p + \|\sigma^\delta \psi\|_p,$$

respectively, where $\|\psi\|_p$ is the L^p norm.

Lemma 19. *For $p \geq 2$ and $0 < \delta < 2 - 3/p$, or $p = 2$ and $\delta = -1$, the operators ∇ and $\mathbf{D}$ are bounded linear maps from $W_\delta^{1,p}$ into $W_\delta^{0,p}$, and there is a continuous embedding $W_\delta^{1,p} \subset W = W_{-1}^{1,2}$.*

Proof. It is obvious from the definitions that the operators ∇ and $\mathbf{D}$ are bounded linear maps from $W_\delta^{1,p}$ into $W_{\delta+1}^{0,p}$. Suppose that $p \geq 2$ and $0 < \delta < 2 - 3/p$. Then $\sigma^{-(1+\delta)}$ is in $L_{\frac{2p}{p-2}}$. Set

$$C = \|\sigma^{-(1+\delta)}\|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}}$$

which is a positive constant. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \psi \|_{1,-1,2} &= \| \nabla \psi \|_2 + \| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_2 \\
&= \| \sigma^{-(1+\delta)} \sigma^{1+\delta} \nabla \psi \|_2 + \| \sigma^{-(1+\delta)} \sigma^\delta \psi \|_2 \\
&\leq \| \sigma^{-(1+\delta)} \|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \| \nabla \psi \|_p + \| \sigma^{-(1+\delta)} \|_{\frac{2p}{p-2}} \| \sigma^\delta \psi \|_p \\
&= C \| \psi \|_{1,\delta,p} . \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 10,

$$2 \int_{M_r} |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_{M_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_{\Omega} u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2} \psi - c(\nu) \check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \psi \rangle$$

for all $r \geq L$. So we have

$$(52) \quad \int_M |\mathbf{D}\psi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{20} \int_M |\nabla \psi|^2$$

for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(N, S)$. By Lemma 19, (52) holds for $\psi \in W$, or equivalently

Lemma 20. *For $\psi \in W$, we have*

$$(53) \quad 2\sqrt{5} \| \mathbf{D}\psi \|_2 \geq \| \nabla \psi \|_2 .$$

Corollary 21. *For $p \geq 2$ and $0 < \delta < 2 - 3/p$, or $p = 2$ and $\delta = -1$, the operator $\mathbf{D} : W_\delta^{1,p} \rightarrow W_{\delta+1}^{0,p}$ is an injection.*

Proof. Suppose that $\psi \in W_\delta^{1,p}$ and $\mathbf{D}\psi = 0$. By Lemma 17, ψ is continuous on M and smooth on $M \setminus \partial\Omega$. By Lemma 20, $\nabla \psi = 0$, so $|\psi|$ is constant outside $M \setminus \partial\Omega$. Then $|\psi|$ is constant on M since $|\psi|$ is continuous on M . We have

$$\| \psi \|_{1,\delta,p} \geq \| \sigma^\delta \psi \|_p = (\| \sigma^{\delta p} \|_1)^{1/p} |\psi|$$

where $\delta p \geq -1$, so $\| \sigma^{\delta p} \|_1 = \infty$. We must have $\psi \equiv 0$. $\square$

Lemma 22 ([27, Lemma 5.4 (a)]). *For sufficiently large L , we have*

$$(54) \quad \| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_{2;E_{2L}^\alpha}^2 \leq 5 \| \nabla \psi \|_{2;E_{2L}^\alpha}^2 .$$

for $\psi \in W$.

Lemma 23. *For sufficiently large L , there is a constant $c = c(L) > 0$ such that for all $\psi \in W$,*

$$(55) \quad \| \psi \|_W^2 \leq c \| \mathbf{D}\psi \|_2^2 .$$

Proof. We modify the proof of [27, Lemma 5.5].

Fix a large L such that Lemma 22 holds. Choose a smooth function $\beta = \beta_L$ such that (i) $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, (ii) $\beta \equiv 1$ on each E_{3L}^α , (iii) $\beta \equiv 0$ on M_{2L} , and (iv) $|\nabla \beta| \leq 2/L$. Given $\psi \in W$, let $\psi_{\text{in}} = (1 - \beta)\psi$ and $\psi_{\text{ex}} = \beta\psi$. Note that the support of ψ_{in} is contained in M_{3L} , and the support of ψ_{ex} is contained in $\cup_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} E_{2L}^\alpha$.

We have

$$\| \psi \|_W^2 = \| \psi \|_{1,-1,2}^2 = (\| \nabla \psi \|_2 + \| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_2)^2 \leq 2 \| \nabla \psi \|_2^2 + 2 \| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_2^2 .$$

$$\| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_2^2 = \| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_{2;M_{2L}}^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_{2;E_{2L}^\alpha}^2 .$$

Recall that $\sigma \geq 1$, so

$$\| \sigma^{-1} \psi \|_{2;M_{2L}}^2 \leq \| \psi \|_{2;M_{2L}}^2 = \| \psi_{\text{in}} \|_{2;M_{2L}}^2 \leq \| \psi_{\text{in}} \|_2^2 .$$

If $\psi \in C^\infty(M, S)$ and $\nabla\psi = 0$, then $|\psi|$ is a constant. So $B(\psi, \phi) = \int_M \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla\phi \rangle$ is a positive definite Hermitian form on $C_0^\infty(M, S)$. We have

$$(56) \quad \|\psi_{\text{in}}\|_2^2 \leq c_2(L) \|\nabla\psi_{\text{in}}\|_2^2.$$

(see e.g. [25, Section 5.2]).

$$\|\nabla\psi_{\text{in}}\|_2^2 = \|\nabla\psi - \nabla\psi_{\text{ex}}\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 + 2 \|\nabla\psi_{\text{ex}}\|_2^2$$

where

$$\|\nabla\psi_{\text{ex}}\|_2^2 = \|\nabla(\beta\psi)\|_2^2 \leq 2 \|\nabla\beta\|_2^2 \|\psi\|_2^2 + 2 \|\beta\nabla\psi\|_2^2.$$

Note that $\nabla\beta$ vanishes outside $M_{3L} \setminus M_{2L}$, $|\nabla\beta| \leq 2/L$ and $1 \leq 3L\sigma^{-1}$ on $M_{3L} \setminus M_{2L}$, so

$$\|\nabla\beta\|_2^2 \leq \left\| \frac{2}{L} \psi \right\|_{2; M_{3L} \setminus M_{2L}}^2 \leq \left\| \frac{2}{L} \cdot 3L \cdot \sigma^{-1} \psi \right\|_{2; M_{3L} \setminus M_{2L}}^2 = 36 \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_{2; M_{3L} \setminus M_{2L}}^2$$

Recall that $|\beta| \leq 1$, so $\|\beta\nabla\psi\|_2^2 \leq \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_{2; M_{2L}}^2 &\leq \|\psi_{\text{in}}\|_2^2 \leq c_2(L) \|\nabla\psi_{\text{in}}\|_2^2 \leq 2c_2(L) (\|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 + \|\nabla\psi_{\text{ex}}\|_2^2) \\ &\leq 2c_2(L) (3 \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 + 72 \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_{2; M_{3L} \setminus M_{2L}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_2^2 &= \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_{2; M_{2L}}^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_{2; E_{2L}^\alpha}^2 \\ &\leq 6c_2(L) \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 + (1 + 144c_2(L)) \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_{2; E_{2L}^\alpha}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 6c_2(L) \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 + 5(1 + 144c_2(L)) \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \|\nabla\psi\|_{2; E_{2L}^\alpha}^2 \right) \\ &\leq (726c_2(L) + 5) \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 22. So

$$\|\psi\|_W^2 \leq 2 \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 + 2 \|\sigma^{-1} \psi\|_2^2 \leq (1452c_2(L) + 12) \|\nabla\psi\|_2^2.$$

By Lemma 20, $\|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 \leq 20 \|\mathbf{D}\psi\|_2^2$, so

$$\|\psi\|_W^2 \leq 240(121c_2(L) + 1) \|\mathbf{D}\psi\|_2^2. \quad \square$$

Lemma 24. *For each $\eta \in C_0^\infty(N, S)$ there exists a unique $u \in W$ such that $\mathbf{D}^2 u = \eta$. $\psi = \mathbf{D}u \in W$ and*

$$\|\psi\|_W^2 \leq c \|\eta\|_2^2.$$

Proof. Consider the functional

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{D}u\|_2^2 + \text{Re}\langle u, \eta \rangle_2$$

on W . It is strictly convex, weakly lower semicontinuous, and

$$F(u) \geq \frac{1}{2c} \|u\|_W^2 - \|u\|_W \|\sigma\eta\|_2,$$

where c is the constant in Lemma 23. By calculus of variation, F has a unique critical point $u \in W$ which is an absolute minimum in W , and u is the weak solution to

$$\mathbf{D}^2 u = \eta.$$

Let $\psi = \mathbf{D}u \in W_0^{0,2}$. Then ψ is a weak solution to

$$\mathbf{D}\psi = \eta.$$

By Lemma 17, $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M, S) \cap C^\infty(M \setminus \partial\Omega, S)$. By Lemma 23,

$$\|\psi\|_W^2 \leq c \|\eta\|_2^2.$$

So $\psi \in W$. $\square$

Lemma 25. *For each $\eta \in C_0^\infty(N, S)$ there exists a unique $\psi \in W$ with $\mathbf{D}\psi = \eta$. For p, δ as in Lemma 19,*

$$(57) \quad \|\psi\|_{1,\delta,p} \leq c(\delta, p) \|\eta\|_{0,\delta+1,p}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 24, there exists $\psi \in W$ such that $\mathbf{D}\psi = \eta$. The solution is unique by Lemma 23.

By Lemma 17, $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(M, S) \cap C^\infty(M \setminus \partial\Omega, S)$. A slight modification of the proof of [27, Proposition 5.7] gives the a priori bound (57). $\square$

The proof of [27, Proposition 5.9] gives

Proposition 26. *Let p, δ be as in Lemma 19. Then $\mathbf{D} : W_\delta^{1,p} \rightarrow W_{\delta+1}^{0,p}$ is a surjection. If $\mathbf{D}\psi = \eta$, where $\eta \in W_\delta^{0,p}$ and $\psi \in W_\delta^{1,p}$, then the a priori bound (57) holds.*

We now return to the proof of Theorem 8. Let ψ_0 be defined as in (49). Then $\mathbf{D}\psi_0 \in L^2(M, S) \cap W_{1+\delta}^{0,p} \cap C^\infty(M, S)$ for all $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \delta < 1 - 3/p$ (see [27, Section 4] for details). By Proposition 26, there exists $\psi_1 \in W$ such that

$$(58) \quad \mathbf{D}\psi_1 = -\mathbf{D}\psi_0$$

and $\psi_1 \in W_\delta^{1,p}(M, S)$ for all $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \delta < 1 - 3/p$. So

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{1-\epsilon} |\psi_1| = 0$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 17, $\psi \in C^\infty(M, S)$ and $\psi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$ for any $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_0$. Then ψ is the desired solution in Theorem 8. The solution is unique by Lemma 19.

4.10. Proof of Theorem 7. Let ψ be the unique spinor given by Theorem 8. By Proposition 10,

$$(59) \quad 0 \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_{M_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_\Omega u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^\ell \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2} \psi - c(\nu) \check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \psi \rangle.$$

By calculations similar to those in [27], we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r^\alpha} \langle \frac{H}{2} \psi - c(\nu) \check{\mathbf{D}}\psi, \psi \rangle = -4\pi G m_\infty^\alpha |\psi^\alpha|^2$$

where m_∞^α is the ADM mass of the end E^α . So

$$4\pi G \sum_{\alpha=1}^\ell m_\infty^\alpha |\psi^\alpha|^2 \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_M |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_\Omega u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2.$$

In particular, take $|\psi^\beta| = 1$ and $\psi^\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, we have

$$4\pi G m_\infty^\beta \geq \frac{1}{10} \int_M |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{16} \int_\Omega u^{-2} |du|^2 |\psi|^2 \geq 0.$$

If $m_\infty^\beta = 0$ for some β , we have $du = 0$, so $u \equiv 1$, which implies $\hat{g} = \bar{g}$. We also have $\nabla\psi = 0$ on M . We conclude that $\partial\Omega$ is connected, and M is the Euclidean space.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bartnik, *New definition of quasilocal mass*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989), no. 20, 2346–2348.
- [2] R. Bartnik, *Quasi-spherical metrics and prescribed scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **37** (1993), no. 1, 31–71.
- [3] J.D. Brown and J.W. York, *Quasilocal energy in general relativity*, Mathematical aspects of classical field theory (Seattle, WA, 1991), 129–142, Contemp. Math., 132, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [4] J.D. Brown and J.W. York, *Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **47** (1993), no. 4, 1407–1419.
- [5] J.D. Brown, S.R. Lau and J.W. York, *Energy of isolated systems at retarded times as the null limit of quasilocal energy*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **55** (1997), no. 4, 1977–1984.
- [6] B. Booss-Bavnbek and K.P. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic boundary problems for Dirac operators*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [7] M.E. Cahill and G.C. McVittie, *Spherical symmetry and mass-energy in general relativity. I. General theory*, J. Mathematical Phys. **11** (1970), 1382–1391.
- [8] D. Christodoulou and S.-T. Yau, *Some remarks on the quasi-local mass*, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), 9–14, Contemp. Math. **71**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [9] A.J. Dougan and L.J. Mason, *Quasilocal mass constructions with positive energy*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991), no. 16, 2119–2122.
- [10] D.M. Eardley, *Global problems in numerical relativity*, Sources of gravitational radiation. Proceedings of the Workshop held at the Battelle Seattle Research Center, Seattle, Wash., July 24–August 4, 1978. Edited by Larry L. Smarr. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979.
- [11] R.J. Epp, *Angular momentum and an invariant quasilocal energy in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000), no. 12, 124108.
- [12] R. Geroch, *Energy extraction*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. **224** (1973), 108–117.
- [13] G.W. Gibbons, *Collapsing shells and the isoperimetric inequality for black holes*, Classical Quantum Gravity **14** (1997), 2905–2915.
- [14] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Second edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [15] S.W. Hawking, *Gravitational radiation in an expanding universe*, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968), 598–604.
- [16] S.W. Hawking and G.T. Horowitz, *The gravitational Hamiltonian, action, entropy and surface terms*, Classical Quantum Gravity **13** (1996), no. 6, 1487–1498.
- [17] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437.
- [18] O. Hijazi, S. Montiel, and X. Zhang, *Eigenvalues of the Dirac operator on manifold with boundary*, Comm. Math. Phys. **221** (2001), no. 2, 255–265.
- [19] P.S. Jang, *On the positivity of energy in general relativity*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978), no. 5, 1152–1155.
- [20] J. Kijowski, *A simple derivation of canonical structure and quasi-local Hamiltonians in general relativity*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation **29** (1997), no. 3, 307–343.
- [21] S.R. Lau, *New Variables, the gravitational action and boosted quasilocal stress-energy-momentum*, Classical Quantum Gravity **13** (1996), 1509–1540.
- [22] C.-C. M. Liu and S.-T. Yau, *Positivity of quasilocal mass*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003), no. 23, 231102.
- [23] M. Ludvigsen and J.A.G. Vicker, *Momentum, angular momentum and their quasilocal null surface extensions*, J. Phys. A **16** (1983), no. 6, 1155–1168.
- [24] H. Minkowski, *Volumen und Oberfläche*, Math. Ann. **57**, 447–495.

- [25] C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 130 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [26] N. Ó Murchadha, L.B. Szabados, K.P. Tod, *Comment on “Positivity of quasilocal mass”*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004), No. 25, 259001.
- [27] T. Parker, C.H. Taubes, *On Witten’s proof of the positive energy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **84** (1982), no. 2, 223–238.
- [28] R. Penrose, *Quasi-local mass and angular momentum in general relativity*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **A385** (1982), 53–63.
- [29] R. Penrose, W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time, Vol. 2, Spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry*, Second edition, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [30] A.V. Pogorelov, *Some results on surface theory in the large*, Advances in Math. **1** (1964), fasc. 2, 191–264.
- [31] Y. Shi and L.-F. Tam, *Positive mass theorem and the boundary behaviors of compact manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 1, 79–125.
- [32] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), no. 2, 231–260.
- [33] L.B. Szabados, *Quasi-local energy-momentum and angular momentum in GR: a review article*, Living Rev. Relativity, **7** (2004), 4.
- [34] K.P. Tod, *More on Penrose’s quasi-local mass*, Classical Quantum Gravity **3** (1986), no. 6, 1169–1189.
- [35] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), no. 3, 381–402.
- [36] S.-T. Yau, *Geometry of three manifolds and existence of black hole due to boundary effect*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2001), no. 4, 755–767.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: `ccliu@math.harvard.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: `yau@math.harvard.edu`